

Appointment of Assistant Public Prosecutors in Dharwar District.

Q.—2754. Sri M. S. PATTAN (Ramdurg).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the communities to which the three persons who have been appointed as Assistant Public Prosecutors in Dharwar District belong ;

(b) whether it is a fact that all the three persons belong to the same community ;

(c) the reasons for not appointing any person of Backward Communities to that post ?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Government).—

(a) Brahmin Community.

(b) Yes ; Deshastha Brahmins.

(c) There were no candidates belonging to Backward Communities.

Appointment of Assistant Prosecutors in Dharwar District.

Q.—3155. Dr. SARDAR B. K. NAGUR (Bijapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that applications had been invited by the District Magistrate of Dharwar for the purpose of making appointments to the post of Assistant Prosecutor ;

(b) whether it is also a fact that thirteen candidates had applied for the said post ;

(c) whether the District Magistrate forwarded his recommendations to the Government in that behalf ;

(d) whether it is also a fact that Sri Jamkhandi was appointed to the post before the District Magistrate could forward his recommendations ?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Government).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes ; to the District Magistrate, Dharwar.

L.A.

(c) Yes.

(d) Yes. The recommendations of the District Magistrate, Dharwar, were not received in time. The District Magistrate and the District Judge had been requested to send a panel of three names of advocates best suited for the post on 12th August 1957. The District Judge sent his recommendations on 22nd August 1957. The District Magistrate did not send his recommendation though the Government waited for nearly a month. As there was pressure from the Public Prosecutor, Dharwar, for an Assistant, the appointment was made on 12th September, 1957. The District Magistrate's recommendations were received on 25th September 1957.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಪಟ್ಟನ್.—ಈ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಬಂದಿದ್ದುವು ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಹದಿಮೂರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಪಟ್ಟನ್.—ಎಲ್ಲ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳೂ ಒಂದೇ ಮತದವರಿಂದ ಬಂದಿದ್ದುವೋ ಅಥವಾ ಬೇರೆ ಮತದವರಿಂದಲೂ ಬಂದಿದ್ದುವೋ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಹೆಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಮತದವರೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರು backward communities ನವರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಬರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಗೂರ್.—ಮೂರು ಜನರೂ ದೇಶಸ್ಥ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರೆಂದು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಇದರೊಳಗೆ ಸಾರಸ್ವತರು, ಕೊಂಕಣಿಸ್ತರು ಸಿಕ್ಕಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—May I know whether applications were called for ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Applications were not called for but there were 13 applications.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—How do the Government say that there was no application from the backward classes ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Because no body had applied.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—How did the public come to know about this ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Not public. The Bar Association was informed of the particulars available to the member.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Was it informed by notice ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Yes, the District Magistrate had sent a notice to them.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—To an earlier question the Minister was pleased to say that he could not find